## Exhibit 2

```
1
    1bgr911c
  UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
   SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK
 2
   -----x
 3 In Re: TERRORIST ATTACKS ON
 3
          SEPTEMBER 11, 2001
                                      03 MDL 1570 (GBD)
 4
 4
    ----x
 5
                                       New York, N.Y.
 5
                                       November 16, 2011
 6
                                       2:30 p.m.
 6
 7
   Before:
 7
 8
           HON. FRANK MAAS
 8
 9
                                       Magistrate Judge
9
10
10
11
           APPEARANCES
11
12
12 KREINDLER & KREINDLER LLP
13 Attorneys for Ashton Plaintiffs
13 BY: JAMES KREINDLER
14
        ANDREW J. MALONEY, III
14
15
15 COZEN O'CONNOR
16
       Attorneys for Plaintiff Federal Insurance
16 BY: SEAN CARTER
17
        J. SCOTT TARBUTTON
17
18
18 MOTLEY RICE LLC
19 Attorneys for Burnett Plaintiffs
19 BY: ROBERT T. HAEFELE
20
20
21 ANDERSON KILL & OLICK, P.C.
21
        Attorneys for O'Neill Plaintiffs
22 BY: JERRY S. GOLDMAN
22
23
23 BERNABEI & WACHTEL PLLC
    Attorneys for Defendants Al Haramain Islamic Foundation
24
24
        and Perouz Seda Ghaty
25 BY: ALAN R. KABAT
                 SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.
```

7 1bgr911c correct, Mr. McMahon, that that is the only affidavit? 2 MR. McMAHON: I believe that's the case, your Honor. 3 THE COURT: I missed that. I guess all I'm missing is the affidavits that relate to your opposition relating to MWL 4 5 and IIRO. 6 MR. McMAHON: The 9/26 letter exhibits, yes. 7 THE COURT: Yes. MR. McMAHON: What is the best way, your Honor, to get 8 9 you physical possession of those? FedEx them to your chambers? 10 THE COURT: Exactly. MR. CARTER: Your Honor, for clarification on our end, 11 these are the exhibits that were submitted in support of the 12 motion for sanctions as to the Muslim World and IIRO. Does the 13 Court have something approximating this? 14 15 THE COURT: Yes. Because of its impressive girth, I 16 didn't bring it upstairs today. MR. CARTER: That's why I made Mr. Tarbutton carry it. 17 THE COURT: Turning to MWL and IIRO, one of the things 18 19 Mr. McMahon says in his papers is that he has 12,000 pages of 20 exhibits, possibly more, kicking around his office that you and 21 your colleagues have declined to come look at. 22 MR. CARTER: Your Honor, we received notification of 23 the existence of those documents on the very day we were filing

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

the motion for sanctions. We were very reluctant to continue

to embrace a moving target relative to what had been produced

24

25

1bgr911c

- 1 another one that essentially conceded that all of the branches
- 2 are under the control of the parent organizations. Let me get
- 3 from you what your position is to that.
- 4 MR. McMAHON: Yes, your Honor. I want to get back to
- 5 the 12,000 pages. For your information, your Honor, we took
- 6 the time to put those on CD's, and they are ready to go if Mr.
- 7 Carter wants those.
- 8 With respect to your pertinent question here, they are
- 9 separate entities. There may be certain parts of the globe
- 10 where there is an MWL office and an IIRO office, but they are
- 11 separate entities, have separate charters. We don't deem IIRO
- 12 to be a subsidiary of the MWL.
- 13 THE COURT: I'm asking a slightly different question.
- 14 For IIRO, for example, to the extent that the plaintiffs are
- 15 seeking documents from branch offices, what is your position as
- 16 to the IIRO's ability to secure documents from the Indonesian
- 17 branch, the London branch, etc.? Is it branch-specific or do
- 18 you concede that all of the branches, in terms of document
- 19 flow, are within the control of the parent?
- MR. McMAHON: No, your Honor, we don't concede that.
- 21 We would look to IIRO for the different office records in terms
- 22 of a particular branch, like the Philippines, which the
- 23 plaintiffs are very interested in. At one point I think the
- 24 MWL had presence there, but IIRO has whatever records IIRO it
- 25 has.

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

1bgr911c

- 1 of these organizations have a thousand or thousands of
- 2 employees, how 12,000 pages, even if it's all financial
- 3 records, would be all the financial records, quarterly, etc.,
- 4 that relate to all of these branches for a multiyear period.
- $\,\,$   $\,$  Are you representing that in response to this first category
- 6 somebody, Mr. al-Radhi or somebody else, on behalf of IIRO
- 7 queried every branch office to secure the documents that
- 8 plaintiffs have requested?
- 9 MR. McMAHON: Your Honor, I believe that's the case.
- 10 I will have to go back and check his affidavit. As I said at
- 11 page 13 paragraph 5, these documents were apparently sent to
- 12 counsel's office.
- 13 THE COURT: Just to avoid the game of chicken, I'm
- 14 going to direct that you provide that CD to plaintiffs' counsel
- 15 and also that plaintiffs' counsel review it.
- MR. McMAHON: There are 12 CD's.
- 17 THE COURT: Like I said, the 12 CD's. I don't want to
- 18 leave anybody in suspense. It's not my intent at the end of
- 19 today to grant or recommend -- I think it would be a grant,
- 20 since this is a discovery issue -- dispositive relief in terms
- 21 of something like striking the answer of any of these
- 22 defendants. But I do think, unless I'm convinced otherwise, we
- 23 may be heading in that direction.
- 24 MR. McMAHON: Does your Honor have a viewpoint on the
- 25 bank documents we have, which are difficult to read? I asked SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

1bgr911c

- 1 going to grant dispositive sanctions, at some point Mr.
- 2 al-Radhi or somebody else, as a 30(b)(6) witness, is going to
- 3 testify as to the efforts that these defendants made in
- 4 response to these requests.
- 5 Except to the extent that the two sides can agree that
- 6 some branch office is not relevant, if each branch office is
- 7 not queried and the documents from that branch produced, as far
- 8 as I'm concerned that will have been an inadequate search and
- 9 may lead to dispositive sanctions.
- 10 MR. McMAHON: I hear and appreciate that, your Honor.
- 11 MR. CARTER: Your Honor, we focused a lot during the
- 12 discussion today on the financial records and bank statements,
- 13 but there were a number of other categories.
- 14 THE COURT: I had written down, just on the April 12th
- 15 order, I was going to focus on 1, 3, 4, 6, and 8. We don't
- 16 have time to go through each one. I know 2 is important to
- 17 you, but you seemed to get a list of orphans, so I skipped that
- 18 one.
- 19 3 relates to the annual constituent council meetings
- 20 where it would appear that there should be centrally located
- 21 files. To the extent that there is something from the
- 22 Philippines' office, as an example, that the main office
- 23 doesn't have, if the Philippines office has it, it needs to be
- 24 produced from that office.
- I guess 4 is similar, although I would imagine Mr.

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

1bgr911c

- 1 McMahon is going to tell me that some of this is among the
- 2 12,000 documents that he has for your review.
- 3 MR. McMAHON: Yes, your Honor.
- 4 MR. CARTER: Your Honor, with regard to category 2,
- 5 for instance, I know that it's been the defendants' response to
- 6 that to produce orphan records.
- 7 THE COURT: I thought we had agreed that it's
- 8 everything but orphans.
- 9 MR. KREINDLER: It is everything but orphans. One of
- 10 the reasons that we want clarification on this issue is because
- 11 it is about the identity of the parties to whom they
- 12 transferred money. The orphans aren't of interest, but some of
- 13 the organizations that received money are.
- MR. McMAHON: As I'm sure you read, your Honor, 50
- 15 percent of IIRO's annual expenses go to these orphans.
- 16 THE COURT: You don't have to segregate out orphans.
- 17 That's the plaintiffs' problem. But telling me about widows
- 18 and orphans doesn't really resolve the problem of producing
- 19 complete records that relate to who received aid during the
- 20 years we're talking about from either of the two defendants.
- 21 MR. McMAHON: Every entity that receives any kind of
- 22 aid has to be identified?
- 23 THE COURT: Correct.
- 24 Talk for a moment about audits. It seems to me the
- 25 defendants will not have done their job as to audits unless SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

1bgr911c 1 MR. McMAHON: I understand, your Honor. Thank you. 2 THE COURT: I know you understand. I thought I was 3 reasonably clear about this in our prior conferences. We don't seem to be moving forward. Perhaps it is that we never will 4 5 and that the plaintiffs' motion ultimately will be granted. 6 Even though you have been to Saudi Arabia, it sounds like folks 7 don't understand what their duties are. For example, saying that somebody has contracted to 8 9 have a further audit of records to my mind is somewhat inexplicable in that the plaintiffs don't want audit documents 10 created now, they want preexisting financial records and 11 12 audits. It's interesting, I suppose, that perhaps as part of your defense somebody is doing an audit, but it really doesn't 13 relate at all, as far as I'm concerned, to document discovery 14 1.5 in this case. 16 Let me jump ahead a little. At some point Mr. McMahon will tell me that these organizations have produced all of the 17 18 records they have and I have indicated that I think it is going 19 to be appropriate to test that through a deposition of one or 20 more 30(b)(6) witnesses. Where will a deposition like that 21 take place? MR. McMAHON: Your Honor, perhaps we can answer that.

22

23 We can very easily arrange to have that done in London.

24 THE COURT: That may be the answer.

25 MR. McMAHON: I think they even have a London office, SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

1bgr911c

- 1 one of these law firms.
- 2 THE COURT: Looking at the number of people in the
- 3 courtroom, I'm sure one of these law firms has a London office
- 4 or can find a room at Heathrow.
- 5 In terms of the indices, I agree that the
- 6 responsibility of producing documents can't be shifted from the
- 7 defendants to the plaintiffs, but I'm not sure that the
- 8 plaintiffs have really looked through the indices to see
- 9 whether there are categories of documents that can be excluded
- 10 or focused on or prioritized or whatever.
- 11 MR. CARTER: Your Honor, as I've said, we have had
- 12 people go through the hundred or so thousand cells within the
- 13 spreadsheet. There really is not enough in a descriptive sense
- 14 to allow us to use them. So, they have limited value.
- MR. McMAHON: Maybe Mr. Carter can send me a brief
- 16 email on one of those categories, your Honor, to point out why
- 17 that particular characterization is too limited to afford the
- 18 9/11 lawyers to say that's the document I want.
- 19 THE COURT: I'll go further than that. You said that
- 20 there was an attempted meet-and-confer but that plaintiffs'
- 21 counsel, it appeared to you, didn't have the indices with them.
- MR. McMAHON: Right.
- 23 THE COURT: I'm going to direct that there be a
- 24 meet-and-confer where both sides have the indices and you can
- 25 have a discussion about what they do or don't shed light on.

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

1bgr911c

7

10

1 opportunity to litigate this case on the merits.

2 Where we are now is that we are going to have another

3 meet-and-confer, Mr. McMahon and his clients are going to

 $4\,$   $\,$  peruse droves of additional documents, and we are going to go

5 back to the beginning with Arabic translators and consultants

6 and everyone else combing through them in considerable detail

to try and demonstrate that stuff has been withheld again.

I just don't know, given where we have come thus far,

9 that there is much basis to think that there is going to be

true compliance going forward or that there will be a

11 reasonably obtainable methodology for demonstrating

12 noncompliance once we have already sort of showed our hand.

13 MR. McMAHON: Your Honor my short response to that is

 $\,$  Mr. Carter should take a look at the 12 disks, the CD's, the

15 12,000 pages, and maybe comment on that.

16 THE COURT: I have already directed that he do that.

17 I recognize that the defendants, and perhaps not just these

18 defendants, are a bit of a moving target, but I don't think it

19 is appropriate to say, well, if they didn't give it to us by

20 the date we filed our motion, we're not going to look at it.

21 Part of what I need to consider is prejudice, and it's

22 hard to demonstrate prejudice if Mr. McMahon can say, well, if

23 they'd opened the file or come to my office, all of the records

24 they want are there, admittedly late, but they are there. I

25 suspect if it's 12,000 documents, it may make a dent in what SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

1bgr911c

25

you are seeking, but it probably makes a fairly small dent. 2 MR. CARTER: Your Honor, part of the prejudice I think 3 we would identify is that, for instance, the 12,000 documents can include branch office reporting that in one of the early 4 5 filings we were told didn't exist. We then invested all the 6 time and resources to have investigators go out and collect 7 information and comb through documents to prove that they did exist. The prejudice we have suffered so far, is the 8 9 incredible investment of money, time, and resources simply to get to the point where the defendants acknowledge effectively, 10 yes, there's a whole bunch of stuff that we never looked for. 11 12 THE COURT: The alternative, I suppose, is to proceed 13 directly to the 30(b)(6) deposition. That might put a finer 14 point on what you are telling me and what seems to be correct 15 in terms of what was and wasn't done. But I'm not sure that at 16 the end of the day I wouldn't have to provide some relief short 17 of throwing out the defendants' answer before taking that step. 18 I guess I understand your frustration. I'm prepared 19 to move forward on the basis of the motion papers I already 20 have, supplemented as is appropriate so that you are not starting from scratch again. But I do think we need to take 21 22 this a step at a time. MR. CARTER: Your Honor, could we reserve at a minimum 23 24 that if we get to the end of that process, the Court would

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

entertain an application, if we fall short at the end of an

1bgr911c

- 1 actual dispositive motion, for a motion for sanctions to
- 2 recover some of the costs and expense we have incurred over
- 3 nine months of simply proving that these documents exist?
- 4 THE COURT: Oh, sure. Yes.
- 5 MR. McMAHON: Your Honor, you should be aware that Mr.
- 6 al-Radhi has invited these lawyers to come to London at his own
- 7 expense or at the charity's expense to go to an overseas office
- 8 and actually learn how the office operates. It would be a
- 9 wonderful education for them. I wrote them and said WML would
- 10 pay for that trip.
- 11 It is extraordinary, I think, the affirmative response
- 12 from these charities to accommodate these attorneys. Keep in
- 13 mind, your Honor, we have been sued for a trillion dollars. I
- 14 have never been involved in one of these trillion-dollar
- 15 lawsuits before. Now, with these 12,000 pages, we are up to
- 16 35,000 pages of discovery. But you have heard my speech
- 17 before. I'm sorry.
- 18 THE COURT: The trillion-dollar ad damnum and the
- 19 seriousness that you view it with is hard to square with an
- 20 earlier stage where I think you were having trouble getting
- 21 advanced sufficient funds to go to Saudi Arabia.
- 22 Putting that aside, I think there has to be greater
- 23 focus here. I've said in the past that if I were the
- 24 plaintiffs, I'd take you up on the offer to visit these
- offices. But if they want to proceed the way they are and on SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

1bgr911c

- 1 the record they have, I'm not going to require that they go to
- 2 London or the Philippines or anyplace else.
- 3 MR. McMAHON: Your Honor, we should forget about,
- 4 then, the vendor proposals that we brought to your attention?
- 5 THE COURT: Yes. Getting a bid to copy every scrap of
- 6 paper, if that's what the offer is, in Saudi Arabia is a
- 7 nonstarter. The duty of identifying responsive documents
- 8 really belongs to the defendants. There are also other issues.
- 9 Once you move documents, for example, from Mecca to another
- 10 city, I don't know that you can say we're producing them as
- 11 they are maintained in the ordinary course and therefore the
- 12 plaintiffs have to come inspect them.
- 13 MR. McMAHON: I think in United LEXIS, your Honor --
- 14 I'll have to go back and double-check -- there would be an
- 15 analysis of all the documents to say these documents are
- 16 responsive to number 1 or number 4 or number 6 or number 3.
- 17 THE COURT: I'm sorry, I didn't get that.
- 18 MR. McMAHON: I think in United LEXIS, your Honor,
- 19 there certainly was, for instance 2 to 6,000 MWL folders. That
- 20 would be an attempt to narrow that down in the sense that these
- 21 are the documents that are necessarily responsive to X, go
- 22 through the use of classic work, discovery work.
- 23 THE COURT: I have directed that the two sides meet
- 24 and confer with regard to the indices that either will or won't
- 25 shed light on this process. Given the track records so far, I

(212) 805-0300

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.